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Does salivary microbiome reflect the functional activity profile of oral microbiota?
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Abstract: Rationale — Human oral cavity is a diverse habitat, consisting of many locations with its microbiotas. It was proven that bacteria detected in saliva could be the indicators of disease and be useful for diagnosis, monitoring, and overall assessment of the patient health. As pilot research of microbial communities associated with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), biomaterials were collected from two patients (saliva, the contents of gingival pockets) for sequencing the amplicon libraries V4-V6 of variable regions of the 16S rRNA gene. Our study aimed at comparing the taxonomic and functional profiles of different locations in the oral cavity. Material and Methods — DNA samples were sequenced using Illumina MiSeq; the qiime2-2020.2 and PICRUSt2 v2.4.1 software packages were used to process the sequencing results of the amplicon libraries. Results — We demonstrated that the salivary microbiome had a greater taxonomic diversity, compared with the microbiome of the periodontal pockets. Regarding the ratios of different taxonomic group abundances, the species ratio in the saliva community significantly differed from the ratio in periodontal pockets. The microbiota of the oral cavity was classified as a producing community, since many different biosynthetic pathways were predicted. Similar functional features were identified for microbial communities in other locations. Conclusions — Different locations in the biotope of the oral cavity have varying species richness of their communities and specific taxonomic composition. However, the microbiotas of different microniches perform similar metabolic functions. This finding allows considering the analysis of saliva microbiota sufficiently representative tool for characterization of the entire oral microbiome.
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Introduction

Human microbiome is a set of genomes of all commensal microorganisms (bacteria, archaea, fungi, and viruses) and fragments of their nucleic acids circulating in the blood and urine. The Human Microbiome Project [1,2], initiated by the National Institute of Health, was designed to characterize the microbiota of various anatomical regions in healthy adults, including their oral cavity. The human oral cavity is diverse: it consists of teeth, gingival grooves, tongue, hard and soft palates, cheek mucosa, and tonsils. Each location has its specific microbiota. Saliva is a liquid fraction that is in contact with all surfaces of the oral cavity. It was proven that bacteria detected in saliva could be the markers of diseases and, as a result, could be useful for diagnostics, monitoring, and general assessment of the patient health [3]. The discovery of various biomarkers (including those of microbial nature), based on saliva, would provide unique opportunities for assessing the health status, since collecting saliva -based biomaterial is a non-invasive, fast and safe procedure; moreover, the samples are easily transported and stored [4].

The taxonomic structure of a community and its functionality represent different albeit complementary views of the microbiome. However, these two aspects of the microbiome organization are not independent. The representativity of genes in the metagenome is a derivative of the community member genomes and relative abundance of each member in the community [5]. High-throughput sequencing has made significant advances in our understanding of microbial ecology and is now widely used in the fields ranging from personalized medicine to bioenergetics. Complete information about the scope of the functional activity in the community can be obtained via processing the data of the whole genome sequencing (WGS) of that community. However, this technique does not work well if there is contamination of the host DNA (e.g., biopsy), or a community with a low biomass is examined. The most optimal approach in such cases would be the use of 16S rRNA gene fragment sequencing. This approach does not presume direct functional annotation; however, several tools allow predicting the available activity of a community via comparing the fragments of 16S rRNA genes with the database of reference genomes (for example, PICRUSt2 software: Phylogenetic Investigation of Communities by Reconstruction of Unobserved States) [6-10].

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is a female endocrine disease of unclear origin, characterized by hyperandrogenism, oligoovulation or anovulation, and ovarian cysts [11-13]. The relationship between disease and microbiome dysbiosis has been shown in model studies performed on rats [14].

The pilot study was conducted to characterize the taxonomic diversity and predict the functional diversity of microbial
communities associated with PCOS in women. The samples were collected from two patients as saliva and periodontal pocket content for the metasequencing of V4-V6 variable regions in the 16S rRNA gene.

The objective of our study aimed at comparing the taxonomic and functional profiles of different locations in the oral cavity.

Material and Methods

DNA samples were sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq platform. To process the results of amplicon libraries metasequencing, the qiime2-2020.2 software package was employed [15]. The PICRUSt2 v2.4.1 software package was used to predict the functional potential of the microbial community [16].

To process the primary data of amplicon libraries and conduct metagenomic analysis, we used the shared research facility (SRF), Irkutsk Supercomputer Center of the Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (ISCC). The amplicon metasequencing data were deposited at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) as BioProject PRJNA778444.

Results

As a result of sequencing, a total of 925,297 paired reads were obtained from 14 different locations in the oral cavity (Table 1). It should be noted that for five libraries (1_11, 1_16, 1_26, 1_31, 2_31), the number of reads per sample was 5,051±2,561; and after quality control and data trimming, this value decreased to 2,033±1,035. For all remaining libraries, the number of reads per sample remained equal to 81,822±2,090 (after trimming: 36,932±1,529). To prevent misinterpretation of biological data, samples with insufficient sequencing depth were excluded from further analysis. The total number of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) was 2,054, with 236±15 ASVs per an individual sample.

To assess the similarity of microbiome composition, a Venn diagram was constructed based on the prevalence of detected ASVs (Figure 1). Only seven ASVs were detected in all locations of the oral cavity. There was a significant difference in ASV abundance between the microbiome of saliva and gingival pockets.

Table 1. Sequencing summary statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Location*</th>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Number of reads</th>
<th>Number of reads after quality check</th>
<th>ASV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>saliva</td>
<td>1_saliva_1</td>
<td>81,856</td>
<td>35,836</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>saliva</td>
<td>1_saliva_2</td>
<td>97,897</td>
<td>48,217</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>saliva</td>
<td>2_saliva_1</td>
<td>74,031</td>
<td>33,715</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>saliva</td>
<td>2_saliva_2</td>
<td>87,267</td>
<td>44,642</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The numbers indicate the specific number of each gingival pocket sensu FDI notation.
Figure 2. Taxonomic composition of microbiota in different locations of the oral cavity.

Figure 3. Heatmap of relative abundances of predicted metabolic pathways.
For the microbial community of the entire oral cavity, a high potential for biosynthetic pathways was predicted (81.7%). On average, the most common functions were: biosynthesis of nucleotides and nucleosides (20.3%); biosynthesis of cofactors, transporters and vitamins (18.9%); and biosynthesis of amino acids (13.5%) (Figure 3). The pathways for de novo biosynthesis of adenine nucleotides (PWY-7229), utilization of pyrimidine nitrogenous bases (PWY-7208), gondoa biosynthesis (PWY-7663), and de novo biosynthesis of adenosine-deoxynucleotides (PWY-7220, PWY-7222) accounted for over 1% of all predicted metabolic pathways.

All but two locations exhibited similar predicted function profiles, implying a similar metabolic potential of the microbiota. Compared with the rest of the locations, saliva location and gingival pocket location No. 46 sensu FDI notation in the second patient had a low number of predicted metabolic pathways. Less intensive metabolism was predicted for these biotopes.

Hence, the microbial community of the oral cavity is a producing community since a large abundance of biosynthetic pathways was predicted for it. Despite different taxonomic profiles, similar functional features were identified for microbial communities from other locations, suggesting that representatives of different taxonomic groups use identical metabolic pathways.

Discussion

The studied salivary microbiome was characterized by a higher diversity at the ASV level than the microbiome of the periodontal pockets. Moreover, the ratios of the numbers of dominant genera in these two niches were different as well.

The presence of a core microbiome suggests some level of community stability. Major taxa make up a large proportion of the sequences, demonstrating that the most abundant organisms are stable in terms of taxonomic composition (but probably not quantitatively). In our research, the core microbiome was represented by Prevotella, Veillonella, Streptococcus, Haemophilus, and Porphyromonas. The results of previous studies indicated a relatively stable structure of bacterial communities in the oral cavity with the following predominant phyla: Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, Fusobacteria, Actinobacteria, and Saccharibacteria. Disorders of their balance may lead to diseases in the oral cavity [17]. The genera Streptococcus, Haemophilus, Veillonella, etc., are early colonizing bacteria. In a new environment, they can quickly adapt via regulating the expression of specific genes and providing new adhesion receptors for the bacteria colonizing at later stages: e.g., for the representatives of the genera Fusobacterium, Prevotella, Porphyromonas, and others. With an increase in the number of bacteria in the oral cavity, the concentration of signaling molecules that can activate the expression of related genes, such as virulence factors and mucopolysaccharides, increases [18].

The study by Hall et al. [19] demonstrated that bacterial communities of the oral cavity, inhabiting supragingival plaque, plaque of the tongue, and saliva, are different from each other. Taxonomic profiles of saliva and tongue communities are more similar to each other than profiles of saliva and supragingival plaque communities. Teeth represent a hard surface that promotes the development of biofilms different from those on the surfaces of the oral mucosa. Besides, supragingival plaque is regularly exposed to external influences during oral hygiene procedures. The similarity of the communities among saliva and plaque from the surface of the tongue is explained by the fact that the dorsum of the tongue is a large surface area containing biomass with a high content of biofilms. Tongue undergoes bacterial exfoliation and desquamation of cells, whereas saliva, being liquid, is in contact with the entire surface of the oral cavity and contains fragments of exfoliated biofilms [19-21].

The studied microbial community can be considered biosynthetic since, among the predicted metabolic pathways, the pathways responsible for the biosynthesis of nucleotides and secondary metabolites prevail, which is indicative of the productive capacity of the community.

For the studied locations of the biotope in the oral cavity, different taxonomic profiles of microorganisms were observed; however, the functional profiles were overall similar. The species composition of the microbial community is rarely static: it often fluctuates in one way or another. Changes in taxonomic profiles result in differences in functional profiles, ultimately changing the overall functionality of the community. However, the magnitude of such functional changes strongly depends on how genes are distributed in the genomes of community members. This gene distribution is determined by taxonomic composition. It would, for example, differ markedly between the communities of organisms with similar genomic content, and communities of organisms with genomes encoding relatively different sets of genes. Taken together, such observations show that the functional resistance of a community to taxonomic perturbations can vary widely across different communities with different species compositions [5].

PICRUSt, predicting the metabolic potential of the microbiota based on the concurrence of fragments of the 16S rRNA gene with a database of complete annotated bacterial genomes, is a powerful tool for analyzing the microbiome. However, this approach has its drawbacks: it is extrapolation-based approach, hence two main points should be considered: a) the libraries must have sufficient sequencing depth; b) the prediction of random bacterial genomes yields high correlations merely because certain gene families are common or, on the contrary, rare in all bacterial taxa.

Conclusion

Different locations of the oral cavity have varying species richness of their communities, and each of these communities is characterized by specific taxonomic composition. Despite that, the microbiota of different locations performs similar metabolic functions. This finding allows considering the analysis of salivary microbiome sufficiently representative tool for the functional characterization of the microbiome in the entire oral cavity.
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