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Effect of low-level laser therapy on inflammatory sequelae of impacted mandibular third molar surgery: A single-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trial
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Abstract: Objective — Postoperative pain, facial swelling, and limitation of mouth opening are common sequelae of lower third molar surgery. The objective of the study was to evaluate the effect of Low- level laser irradiation in controlling these sequelae.

Material and Methods — This randomized, single-blinded, split-mouth pilot study was carried out at the department of oral and maxillofacial surgery, university affiliated hospital, Erbil, Iraq. The study was conducted on 20 patients (13 males and 7 females), with a mean age of 26.3±7.4 years, who needed surgical removal of symmetrical bilateral impacted lower third molars. In each patient, one side was treated by low level laser and the other side was control. Laser irradiation was performed by postoperative single intraoral application of 940 nm laser beam at four points. Pain, trismus, and facial swelling were evaluated at the first, third, and seventh postoperative days. The data were analyzed using Mann–Whitney U test and unpaired t-test.

Results — As compared to the control sides, low- level laser irradiated sides showed a significant reduction in visual analogue pain scales (VAS) during the first three postoperative days (P<0.05). The VAS scores of the laser treated sides were 4.46, 4.00, and 3.35 as compared to 6.58, 5.82, and 5.17 for the control sides. The swelling, and trismus were significantly reduced on the first and third postoperative days in the laser treated sides, as compared to the control sides (P<0.05). The facial measurements during the first and third postoperative days were 108.72 mm and 114.77 mm in the laser sides and 113.57 mm and 118.43 mm in the control sides. The degree of mouth opening during the first and third postoperative days were 33.48 mm and 30.37 mm in the laser sides and 27.93 mm and 25.58 mm in the control sides.

Conclusions — Single intraoral application of low- level laser is effective in reducing pain, swelling, and trismus after mandibular third molar surgery. Therefore, it can be used as an adjuvant in controlling postoperative complications after lower third molar surgery.
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Introduction

Surgical removal of impacted mandibular third molars is one of the most commonly performed oral surgical procedure worldwide. The expected morbidities of this operation are pain, edema, trismus, and dry socket, which cause postoperative discomfort and impair the patient’s quality of life [1]. Pain resulting from this type of surgery is used as one of the main parameters for assessing the pharmacological effectiveness of various methods of analgesia. Pain usually begins after the anesthesia wears off and reaches peak levels 6 to 12 hours postoperatively. It is usually moderate and of short duration for the first 24-48 hours [2]. Postoperative pain is caused by injury-induced release of cyclooxygenase-2, which triggers prostaglandins activity, that sensitize nociceptors [3].

After surgical extraction, inflammatory mediators are released and an increased vasodilation and permeability leads to postoperative edema. Postoperative edema reaches its peak intensity after 48 hours and markedly regress on the fourth day, with resolution 7 days after extraction [4]. Like edema, trismus usually reaches its peak on the second day and resolves by the end of the first week [5].

It has been reported that the incidence of dry socket after lower third molar surgery is greater than 30%. Dry socket causes severe throbbing pain, one to three days after extraction, exposed bone cavity, and smelly odor [6]. A dry socket is caused by the disintegration of the blood clot which can be caused both by the activation of the fibrinolytic system in the socket and by mechanical stimulation. Hyperactivity of the fibrinolytic system is associated with local inflammation and bacterial invasion [7].

To control the postextraction morbidity, several therapies have been used, including medical and nonmedical therapy. Local or systemic corticosteroids and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are commonly used, nevertheless they are associated with side effects such as gastric irritation, hypertension, and impairment of platelets function [8]. The nonmedical treatment include cryotherapy [9], photodynamic and low-level laser therapy
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Low level laser therapy (LLLT) demonstrates analgesic, anti-edematous, and healing promoting effects. LLLT has been shown to induce analgesia by stimulating the body’s synthesis of endorphins (endorphin), reducing the activity of bradykinin and C-fibers, and altering the pain threshold [14]. LLLT reduces mitochondrial metabolism and rapidly block pain transmission [15]. The anti-inflammatory effect occurs due to the increased phagocytic activity, the number and diameter of the lymph vessels, the reduced permeability of blood vessels and the restoration of microcapillary blood flow, the normalization of blood vessel permeability [16]. The LLLT also decrease the expressions of IL1-β, IL-6 and TNF-α mRNA [17]. These cytokines are important in neutrophil proliferation, recruitment and activation [18].

LLLT has been used in various oral conditions including lichen planus [19], burning mouth syndrome [20], recurrent herpes labialis [21], postherpetic neuralgia [22], temporomandibular joint disorders [23], accelerating orthodontic tooth movement [24], dry socket [25] and controlling postoperative pain after lower third molar extraction [26].

The therapeutic effect of the laser is influenced by the power output, the dose, the pulse frequency and the treatment frequency. The therapeutic wavelength range for low-level lasers is between 630 nm and 980 nm. Wavelengths between 600-700 nm are preferred for superficial tissue; Wavelengths between 780-950 nm can achieve deeper optical penetration and are preferred for treating deep tissues [27]. Pulse wave (PW) laser radiation offers additional advantages over continuous wave (CW) lasers. The high momentary power flows in PW ensure efficient energy transfer and the interval between the pulses enables heat to be dissipated in order to avoid thermal ablation [28].

The objective of this study was to investigate the effect of low-level laser therapy using 940 nm on postoperative inflammatory sequelae following surgical removal of impacted lower third molars by clinically measuring the postoperative pain, swelling, and trismus.

Figure 1. Patient flow chart.
Parameters were used: wavelength (940 nm), power (0.5 W), density (10 J/cm²). No losses were reported. None of the patients showed any adverse reactions to the laser treatment. Following surgery, patients were prescribed 625 mg of amoxicillin-clavulanic acid tablet every 12 hours for 5 days along with oral ibuprofen 400 mg every 8 h for a 3-day period.

**Low-level laser therapy**

Immediately after completion of surgery, the experimental side received low-level laser therapy using BIOLASE laser (BIOLASE, INC, USA). The patient and the operator wore safety glasses during irradiation. The following parameters were used: wavelength (940 nm), power (0.5 W), density (10 J/cm²). The fiber tip was placed close to the soft tissue, approximately 1 cm from the target area (noncontact mode), and the laser beam was applied continuously for 30 s at the center of the extraction socket and at one cm distant from the wound on the buccal, lingual, and distal aspect, with a total time of 120 s and a total energy density of 40 J/cm². In the control side, only the fiber tip was applied without exposure. The surgery between the two sides was performed one month apart. Following surgery, the patients were prescribed 625 mg of amoxicillin-clavulanic acid tablet every 12 hours for 5 days along with oral ibuprofen 400 mg every 8 h for a 3-day period.

**Measurement of pain, swelling, and trismus**

The pain intensity was assessed daily, for one week, by the patient using a Visual Analogue Scale (VAS), which is an 11 cm scale from 0-10. (Zero as pain-free, and 10 as the worst pain).

The degree of swelling was evaluated by using the method of Feslihan and Ergölu [29]. The baseline facial distance was calculated, using a flexible tape, immediately before surgery as the arithmetic mean of three facial linear measurements: the labial commissure – tragus, the gonion – lateral canthus, and the gonion – labial commissure. The degree of mouth opening was also evaluated, immediately before surgery, by measuring the maximal inter-incisal distance in millimeters using a digital caliper. Remeasurement of facial distances and degree of mouth opening was repeated on the 1st, 3rd, and 7th postoperative days.

**Statistical analysis**

Data analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 23 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Difference in pain score was evaluated by Mann-Whitney U test. Unpaired t-test was used to measure the significant difference in the amount of facial swelling and the degree of mouth opening. A p-value of ≤ 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

Twenty patients, 13 males and 7 females, were enrolled in this clinical study. The average age of the participants was 26.3 years (standard deviation, 7.4 years). The mean operation time was 17.2±4.77 min in Group 1, 19.03±5.49 min in Group 2. The difference in the operation time was not significant (p=0.272). All patients completed the study period, with no losses. None of the patients showed any adverse reactions to the laser treatment.

The VAS pain score of the two groups is shown in Table 1. The laser group showed a lower VAS score than the control group. The difference was significant during the first three days (p<0.05).

### Table 1. Pain score of the two groups during the first postoperative week

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postoperative days</th>
<th>VAS Scores Laser side</th>
<th>VAS Scores Control side</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>4.46 ±0.51</td>
<td>2.39 ±1.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>3.35 ±2.33</td>
<td>1.76 ±3.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>3.05 ±2.51</td>
<td>4.64 ±1.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>2.39 ±1.76</td>
<td>1.85 ±1.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th</td>
<td>1.70 ±1.29</td>
<td>2.35 ±1.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th</td>
<td>0.47 ±0.51</td>
<td>0.95 ±0.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Statistically significant.

### Table 2. Facial measurements of the two groups during the 1st, 3rd, and 7th postoperative days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timing of measurement</th>
<th>Facial Measurements (mm)</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>104.34±4.36</td>
<td>102.58±6.29</td>
<td>1.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st postoperative day</td>
<td>108.72±6.01</td>
<td>113.57±4.54</td>
<td>2.879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd postoperative day</td>
<td>114.77±5.63</td>
<td>118.43±3.48</td>
<td>2.473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th postoperative day</td>
<td>105.39±5.32</td>
<td>104.15±2.63</td>
<td>0.934</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Statistically significant.

### Table 3. Mouth opening of the two groups during the 1st, 3rd, and 7th postoperative days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timing of measurement</th>
<th>Mouth opening (mm)</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>41.23 ±9.34</td>
<td>40.69±17.12</td>
<td>0.253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st postoperative day</td>
<td>33.48 ±9.66</td>
<td>27.93±3.12</td>
<td>4.425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd postoperative day</td>
<td>30.37 ±2.71</td>
<td>25.58±2.94</td>
<td>5.357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th postoperative day</td>
<td>39.14 ±4.22</td>
<td>37.78±6.34</td>
<td>0.798</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant.

**Material and Methods**

**Study design and sample**

This randomized, single-blinded, split-mouth prospective clinical and biochemical study was carried out on patients presenting for surgical removal of bilateral impacted mandibular third molars. The study was conducted at a university affiliated hospital, Erbil, Iraq, between December 2016 and March 2018. The study has been approved by the board ethics committee and has been performed in accordance with the ethical standards as laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. Written informed consent was taken from all the patients. The quality assessment was conducted according to the CONSORT Statement RCT checklist and Figure 1 shows the patient flow diagram. Inclusion criteria were age 18–35 years, symmetrical bilateral impacted mandibular third molars classified as Class 2 and Class 3 according to the Pell–Gregory classification and mesioangular position, according to the Winter’s classification. Patients should have good health status, and clinical and or radiologic indications for third molar extraction were included in the study. There was no gender preference.

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

The exclusion criteria were as follows: a systemic disease, acute pericoronitis and periodontal disease, pregnancy, breastfeeding, contraceptive use, smoking, consumption of analgesic or anti-inflammatory drugs prior to the study and apparent asymmetry between the left and right mandibular third molars. Patients who developed postoperative dry socket, who did not attend the follow up visits, and who required more than 30 minutes to remove the tooth were excluded.

The teeth were randomly assigned (www.random.org) into two groups: group 1 (20 teeth) received laser application, while group 2 (20 teeth) served as a control.
however, it was not significant during the last 4 days (P>0.05). The VAS of the laser group at the first three days was 4.46±(1.45), 4.00, (±1.36) and 3.35(2.33), respectively. For the control group, the VAS was 6.58±(1.83), 5.82±(2.15), and 5.17±(1.97), respectively.

The degree of facial swelling is shown in Table 2. The swelling was less in the laser group than the control group. The difference was significant at the first and third postoperative days (P<0.05) and not significant at the seventh postoperative day (P>0.05). At the first postoperative day, the facial measurements were 113.57 mm (±4.54mm) in the control group vs. 108.72 mm (±6.01mm) in the laser group. At the third postoperative day, the measurements were 118.43mm (±3.48mm) in the control group vs. 114.77mm (±5.63mm) in the laser group.

The degree of mouth opening is shown in Table 3. The mouth opening of the laser group was less than the control group. The difference was significant at the first and third postoperative days (P<0.05) and not significant at the seventh postoperative days (P>0.05). At the first postoperative day, the mouth opening was 27.93mm (±3.12mm) in the control group vs. 33.48mm (±2.66mm) in the laser group. At the third postoperative day, the mouth opening was 25.58mm (±2.94mm) in the control group vs. 30.37mm (±2.71mm) in the laser group.

**Discussion**

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of low intensity laser photobiomodulation on postoperative inflammatory sequelae after surgical removal of impacted lower third molars. The use of anti-inflammatory drug and analgesic to control inflammatory complications is complicated by the adverse effects associated with their use, such as gastrointestinal irritation, systemic bleeding or allergic reactions [30]. Therefore, attempting a noninvasive nonmedication and comfortable treatment modality for controlling postsurgical sequelae is important. LLLT offers a safe therapeutic medical modality that is generally free from side effects. The FDA has classified the most commonly used LLLTs as class III: no-significant-risk medical devices.

A split-mouth design is used to prevents interpersonal variations of pain intensity. Likewise, a bilateral symmetrical impacted teeth with the same angulation (mesioangular), and depth of impaction (class II, position B), are selected to standardize the complexity of surgical procedures. Furthermore, split-mouth technique provided similar laser penetration depths for both sides. However, He et al. [31] have criticized the split-mouth design, suggesting that it may be difficult to avoid selection bias in baseline equivalence control. When surgical procedures are not performed concurrently, patients’ pain thresholds may change based on their initial surgical experience. In addition, tolerance to trismus can increase, leading to unequal baseline measurements. To minimize possible bias in the present study, LLLT was used at either the first or second surgical procedure. As the intensity postoperative morbidities is closely correlated to the degree of trauma and duration of surgery, any operations lasting more 30 minutes were not considered. However, no significant difference in the operation time between the two groups was noted.

The anti-edematous and analgesic effect of photobiomodulation has been proved under both experimental and clinical conditions [31]. However, the effect of LLLT photobiomodulation on the morbidities of third molar surgery and have reported conflicting controversial results. These conflicting results may be due to difficulties in the measurement of variables related to postoperative sequelae, differences in study design or methods, differences in types of lasers and handpieces used, and differences in irradiation parameters.

In the present study, VAS pain score was highest on the first postoperative day and then gradually subsides throughout the subsequent days, in both groups. Pain VAS score was lower in the laser irradiated group than in the control group and the difference was significant in the first three days. This finding is attributed to the fact that photobiomodulation increases the pain threshold, blocks nerve conduction, and stimulates the synthesis of endogenous endorphins (β-endorphin) [32, 33]. In addition, low level laser it inhibits the cascade of arachidonic acid, reduces the concentration of cyclooxygenase 2, prostaglandin E2, and histamine [34]. The results of this study come in accordance with the study of Hadad et al. [35]. In their study, the pain was significantly reduced at the 24 and 48 hours by an intraoral diode laser application at 810 nm wavelength, 6 J (100 mW, 60 seconds/point). Momeni et al. [36] found that pain scores did not differ significantly up to the fifth postoperative day; however, on the sixth and seventh days, it was significantly lower in the laser treated sides. Santos et al. [37] also confirm that irradiation of extracted lower third molar area on the buccal, lingual and center of the socket with 780 nm soft laser significantly reduced the pain on 24-, 48-, and 72-hours post-surgery. In contrast, Ergolú and Keskin Tunc [38] did not show effectiveness with the application of 940 nm extraoral diode laser for reducing pain. Ahrari et al. [39] also did not found any significant effect of 660 nm and 810 nm laser (200 mW, 30 seconds radiation to lingual, buccal and occlusal surfaces of the socket, 6 J/area) for reducing pain.

Facial Swelling in the laser group was significantly lower at all time intervals than in the placebo group. This effect could be attributed to laser-induced macrophage activation, which increase protein absorption. Laser also to increase the number and diameter of lymph vessels and decreases the blood vessels’ permeability, modifies the hydrostatic and intracapillary pressure, and induces the absorption of interstitial fluid with a consequent reduction of edema [40].

The findings of this study are in consensus with many studies that have reported a significant effect of low-density laser in reducing postoperative swelling after third molar surgery. Singh et al. [41], Bianchi de Moraes et al. [42], and Mohajerani et al. [43] showed the effectiveness of LLLT in reducing swelling after surgical removal of impacted lower mandibular third molars. In a systematic review and meta-analysis, Domah et al. [44] and Duarte de Oliveira et al. [45] found that LLLT has a positive outcome in the reduction of postoperative swelling after mandibular third molar surgery. In contrast, the findings of our study, Lópezm-ramírez et al. [46] and Pedreira et al. [47] have failed to show a beneficial effect of laser on swelling.

Mouth opening in the laser group was significantly greater than the control group during the three-time intervals of this study. Improvement of mouth opening may be attributed to analgesic and anti-inflammatory effects of low-level laser, as well as the minimum thermal effects occurring during laser radiation that consequently, diminishes trismus. Since the internal pterygoid, masseter, and tendon of the temporalis muscle are exposed to the radiation field, relaxation of the muscle may occur due to the thermal effect. Our findings come in accordance with the conclusions of Landucci et al. [48], who found a significantly better mouth opening after irradiation wit laser energy of 7.5
I/cm², with a power output of 10 mW and at an infrared wavelength of 780 nm. In the study of Aras and Güngörmiş [49], a Ga-Al-As diode laser with a continuous wavelength of 808 nm was effective in reducing trismus after third molar surgery, when applied intra- and extraorally. In contrast to our results, Singh et al. [41] found no significant effects of laser on trismus. In addition, in their systematic review and meta-analysis, Domah et al. [44] Duarte de Oliveira et al. [45], didn’t find any significant effects of laser on the maximum mouth opening after third molar surgery.

This variation in the results among different studies is the lack of standardization in studies with regard to the samples, methods and the use of lasers with a different wavelength, power, and energy. The anti-inflammatory effect may be affected by the wavelength and nature of the tissue. Hudson et al. [50] demonstrated that in bovine tissue samples, a laser beam at 880 nm penetrated 54% deeper than a laser beam at 940 nm. Brossseau et al. [51] also found that laser at 632 nm was more effective on pain as compared to 820 nm laser. The site of application (intraoral vs. extraoral), the number of irradiation (single vs. multiple), the timing (preoperative vs. postoperative), the duration and mode of irradiation (continuous vs. pulsed), and distance of the source from the tissue, could also influence the response to laser therapy. Sierra et al. [52] found a statistically significant interaction between the irradiation site and wavelength, with swelling and trismus were smaller if the red laser was applied intra-orally or if infrared laser was applied extra-orally. Although intraoral use would allow closer application to the surgical site, the size of some laser probes precludes their intraoral use.

Conclusion

Single intraoral irradiation of the wound, with Low level laser, significantly reduces pain and facial swelling and increases the degree of mouth opening, following surgical removal of impacted lower third molar. No any side effects were noted with this treatment. It should be considered as an adjunctive modality to alleviate symptoms in oral surgical procedures.
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